



Construction

in 32 jurisdictions worldwide

2015

Contributing editor: Robert S Peckar



Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:

- AB & David
- Advokatfirmaet Schjødt as
- Akinci Law Office
- Arzinger
- Atsumi & Sakai
- Bahar & Partners
- Borden Ladner Gervais LLP
- Buse Heberer Fromm Rechtsanwälte
Steuerberater PartG mbB
- Fasken Martineau
- Fenwick Elliott LLP
- Foyen Advokatfirma AB
- George Etomi & Partners
- Gómez-Pinzón Zuleta Abogados
- Hesketh Henry
- Lahiri LLC
- Lalive
- Lalive in Qatar LLC
- Larraín Rencoret & Urzúa Abogados
- Legance – Avvocati Associati
- Lett Law Firm P/S
- Mäkitalo Rantanen & Co Ltd
- Motieka & Audzevičius
- OMG
- Ost Legal
- Peckar & Abramson, PC
- Pinheiro Neto Advogados
- Pinsent Masons LLP
- Seth Dua & Associates
- Severijn Hulshof advocaten
- Shalakany Law Office
- Smith d'Oria

Construction 2015

Contributing editor:
Robert S Peckar
Peckar & Abramson, PC

Getting the Deal Through is delighted to publish the fully revised and updated eighth edition of *Construction*, a volume in our series of annual reports, which provide international analysis in key areas of law and policy for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners and business people.

Following the format adopted throughout the series, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the 32 jurisdictions featured. New jurisdictions this year include Indonesia, Italy, Norway and South Africa.

Every effort has been made to ensure that matters of concern to readers are covered. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers. *Getting the Deal Through* publications are updated annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest print edition or to the online version at www.gettingthedealthrough.com.

Getting the Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise.

Getting the Deal Through would also like to extend special thanks to contributing editor Robert S Peckar of Peckar & Abramson, PC for his continued assistance with this volume.

Getting the Deal Through

London
 July 2014

Publisher

Gideon Robertson
gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Sophie Pallier
subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Business development managers

George Ingledeu
george.ingledeu@lbresearch.com

Alan Lee
alan.lee@lbresearch.com

Dan White
dan.white@lbresearch.com

Introduction	3	France	59
Robert S Peckar and Michael S Zicherman Peckar & Abramson, PC		Isabelle Smith Monnerville, Jean-Olivier d'Oria and Julien Maire du Poset Smith d'Oria	
Brazil	4	Germany	68
Júlio César Bueno Pinheiro Neto Advogados		Jörg Gardemann Buse Heberer Fromm Rechtsanwälte Steuerberater PartG mbB	
Canada	14	Ghana	74
Bruce Reynolds, Sharon Vogel and Yvan Houle Borden Ladner Gervais LLP		David Ofoosu-Dorte, Isabel Boaten and Ferdinand Adadzi AB & David	
Chile	22	India	79
José Manuel Larraín Larraín Rencoret & Urzúa Abogados		Sunil Seth and Vasanth Rajasekaran Seth Dua & Associates	
Colombia	29	Indonesia	86
Santiago Jaramillo-Caro Gómez-Pinzón Zuleta Abogados		Mutiara Rengganis and Donny Fadilah Bahar & Partners	
Denmark	35	Italy	92
Henrik Puggaard, Lene Lange and Kristian Skovgård Larsen Lett Law Firm P/S		Giuseppe Abbruzzese and Gabriele Capecchi Legance – Avvocati Associati	
Dominican Republic	42	Japan	99
Laura Troncoso Ariza and Mairéní Silvestre Ramírez OMG		Miho Niunoya Atsumi & Sakai	
Egypt	48	Lithuania	104
Ahmed Amin and Farah El Nahas Shalakany Law Office		Jovitas Elzbergas Motieka & Audzevičius	
Finland	54	Netherlands	110
Almo Halonen Mäkitalo Rantanen & Co Ltd		Leendert C van den Berg, Erik Gierman and Joost Haest Severijn Hulshof advocaten	

**Law
 Business
 Research**



Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
 87 Lancaster Road
 London, W11 1QQ, UK
 Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910
 © Law Business Research Ltd 2014
 No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.
 First published 2008
 8th edition
 ISSN 1755-6953

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of July 2014, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
 Encompass Print Solutions
 Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

New Zealand	115	Switzerland	156
Margaret A Helen Macfarlane, Christina Bryant, Nick Gillies and Michael O'Brien* Hesketh Henry Please visit www.gettingthedealthrough.com		Michael E Schneider, Matthias Scherer, Bernd Ehle and Sam Moss Lalive	
Nigeria	115	Taiwan	162
George Etomi, Efeomo Olotu and Adunola Akindele George Etomi & Partners		Helena H C Chen Pinsent Masons LLP	
Norway	120	Turkey	168
Geir Frøholm Advokatfirmaet Schjødt as		Ziya Akinci and Cemile Demir Gökyayla Akinci Law Office	
Qatar	124	Ukraine	175
Marcus Boeglin, Matthias Scherer, Ramy Saleh, Marle-Anne Roberty-Jabbour and Sam Moss Lalive in Qatar LLC		Timur Bondaryev, Svitlana Teush and Volodymyr Grabchak Arzinger	
Russia	131	United Arab Emirates	183
Vladimir Lipavsky Ost Legal		Sachin Kerur, William Marshall, Charmaine Khan and Charlotte Holmes Pinsent Masons LLP	
Singapore	137	United Kingdom	189
Shourav Lahiri Lahiri LLC		Stacy Sinclair Fenwick Elliott LLP	
South Africa	144	United States	199
Tania Siciliano Fasken Martineau		Robert S Peckar and Michael S Zicherman Peckar & Abramson, PC	
Sweden	150		
Jacob Hamilton, Richard Sahlberg and Per Vestman Foyen Advokatfirma AB			

New Zealand

Margaret A Helen Macfarlane, Christina Bryant, Nick Gillies and Michael O'Brien*

Hesketh Henry

1 Foreign pursuit of the local market

If a foreign designer or contractor wanted to set up an operation to pursue the local market, what are the key concerns they should consider before taking such a step?

Some of the key concerns for a contractor setting up business in New Zealand include:

- Considering what type of structure is most suitable (see question 11) and complying with the relevant regulations to establish any entity chosen. Overseas companies and limited liability partnerships must register with the Companies Office if they are 'carrying on business' in New Zealand. This can be done online through the Companies Office: www.business.govt.nz/companies/do-it-now/start-a-company.
- Researching the market and determining how factors such as geographical distance and currency fluctuations may impact business. Statistics New Zealand has information, tables and tools that can help, available online at: <http://businesstoolbox.stats.govt.nz/IndustryProfilerBrowse.aspx>.
- Checking licensing and professional qualification requirements (see also question 2).
- Understanding pertinent taxation issues, including (among others):
 - Goods and Services Tax (GST) of 15 per cent is charged on the sale of goods and the provision of services; and
 - the Accident Compensation Corporation (ACC) provides no fault accident compensation for workplace (and other) injuries, funded by employer levies.
- Understanding other factors that may affect the cost of doing business in New Zealand, including:
 - availability of insurance (see question 14);
 - ensuring compliance with New Zealand law regarding employee contracts (see question 16);
 - ensuring compliance with New Zealand health and safety legislation; and
 - ensuring compliance with consumer protection laws (see question 13).

2 Licensing procedures

Must foreign designers and contractors be licensed locally to work and, if so, what are the consequences of working without a licence?

Foreign designers and contractors must follow the same licensing procedures that are required for domestic designers and contractors.

All Restricted Building Work (RBW) (residential building work that is essential to the structural integrity or weather tightness of a building) must be carried out or supervised by a Licensed Building Practitioner (LBP). Holders of Australian design or trade-related licences can apply for a New Zealand licence under the Trans-Tasman Mutual Recognition Act 1997.

Becoming an LBP involves a robust application process consisting of a written application, oral testing by assessors and confirmation of the applicant's work by referees. A contractor carrying out RBW without an LBP (or without supervision by a person holding an LBP) may be fined up to NZ\$20,000.

In addition, a plumber, gasfitter, drain layer, electrical worker or architect must be registered in their profession in accordance with the relevant legislation in order to be able to work in New Zealand. Engineers need not be registered by law to work in New Zealand – however, only qualified persons registered with the Institute of Professional Engineers of New Zealand may use the title 'Chartered Professional Engineer'.

3 Competition

Do local laws provide any advantage to domestic contractors in competition with foreign contractors?

New Zealand law does not provide any advantage to domestic contractors over foreign contractors.

Public sector procurement in particular is guided by the Principles of Government Procurement as well as the Government Rules of Sourcing. 'Being fair to all suppliers' as well as 'non-discrimination in procurement' are core components of these policies, which aim to encourage competition, treat suppliers from another country no less favourably than New Zealand suppliers and meet New Zealand's international obligations.

In addition to bilateral agreements relating to procurement with a number of other countries (such as Australia, Singapore, Brunei and Chile), New Zealand is in the process of acceding to the World Trade Organization's agreement on government procedure (GPA). The GPA aims to establish equal conditions of competition in the government procurement markets between countries that accede to it.

4 Bribery

If a contractor has illegally obtained the award of a contract, for example by bribery, will the contract be enforceable? Are bribe-givers and bribe-takers prosecuted and, if so, what are the penalties they face? Are facilitation payments allowable under local law?

A contract obtained through bribery is illegal and of no effect.

Bribery in the public sector is dealt with under the Crimes Act 1961, which makes it an offence to give or accept a bribe for an act done or not done in an official capacity. 'Bribe' is widely defined to include money, valuable consideration, office, or employment, or any direct or indirect benefit. Bribe-givers and bribe-takers are prosecuted; the penalty is imprisonment for up to seven years.

Bribery offences in the private sector are dealt with under the Secret Commissions Act 1910, which makes it a criminal offence to bribe an agent, such as a lawyer, broker or a real estate agent, to act in a certain way regarding their client's business or affairs. A

company convicted under this Act can be fined up to NZ\$2,000; individuals may be imprisoned for up to two years or fined up to NZ\$1,000. The wronged party may also bring a civil claim for breach of a statutory duty.

5 Political contributions

Is the making of political contributions part of doing business? If so, are there laws that restrict the ability of contractors or design professionals to work for public agencies because of their financial support for political candidates or parties?

There are no laws that prohibit contractors or design professionals from making donations to political parties or candidates.

However, the Electoral Act 1993 requires any donor who donates (to either a candidate or a political party) an amount exceeding NZ\$1,500 to disclose their identity. It is an offence for a donor or recipient to conceal the identity of the donor for donations over this amount.

Political donations should not be a quid pro quo for any conduct by a public official so as to amount to bribery (see question 4). Public contracts may not be awarded based solely on political support but require a fair and transparent tender process (see question 3).

6 Other international legal considerations

Are there any other important legal issues that may present obstacles to a foreign contractor attempting to do business in your jurisdiction?

While there are no particular obstacles to doing business in New Zealand, a foreign contractor should be aware of how local laws impact foreign workers and foreign building products.

A foreign worker must hold a working visa (see question 15). A foreign contractor should confirm that there are no double taxation issues applying to foreign employees (see question 34). In addition, only foreign workers holding a working visa valid for a minimum of two years will be covered by New Zealand's public healthcare system. Foreign workers suffering a personal injury or work-related health condition while in New Zealand will be covered by the ACC, but this does not cover ordinary illness or emergency travel back home. In the case of serious injuries, the ACC will only assist to the point where the foreigner is able to safely return to their home country.

If a contractor plans on using building supplies or materials sourced from its home jurisdiction, it must ensure that those products and materials have been tested and comply with the applicable New Zealand standards regarding quality and safety as established by Standards New Zealand (SNZ), or with a foreign standard that SNZ recognises as being equivalent to the New Zealand standard.

7 Construction contracts

What standard-contract forms are used for construction and design? Must the language of the contract be the local language? Are there restrictions on choice of law and the venue for dispute resolution?

NZS 3910, NZS 3916, and NZS 3917 are the most common construction contracts in New Zealand. Other well-known contracts (such as FIDIC and NEC3) are used, albeit not as frequently.

NZS 3910 is intended for traditional procurement arrangements involving only construction work. NZS 3916 is similar to NZS 3910, although tailored for a design and build context. NZS 3917 is intended to be used for the provision of services over a defined period of time rather than a fixed scope of work.

Each of NZS 3910, NZS 3916 and NZS 3917 can be tailored to specific projects, and contain special conditions to allow for this.

In addition to the NZS contracts, certain other bodies have produced contracts tailored for New Zealand construction works:

The New Zealand Institute of Architects (NZIA) has produced a series of standard-form construction contracts, some of which are designed for use where the contract is administered by an NZIA architect, others of which may be used when the architect is not contractually involved in the administration of the contract.

The Association of Consulting Engineers New Zealand (ACENZ) and the Institute of Professional Engineers New Zealand have developed standard conditions of contract for consultancy services. These can apply to a wide range of consulting services and for most types of project.

The Registered Master Builders Association provides a standard form of subcontract.

There is no requirement that English must be the language of the contract, although it is the predominant language used.

There are no restrictions on choice of law or venue for dispute resolution in the NZS suite of contracts. If not contractually specified by the parties, established private international law rules will need to be invoked to determine the venue and governing law.

8 Payment methods

How are contractors, subcontractors, vendors and workers typically paid and is there a standard frequency for payments?

Contractors, subcontractors and vendors of prefabricated, customised components for non-residential construction projects have a statutory right to progress payments under the Construction Contracts Act 2002. This right will likely be extended to residential construction contracts in 2015. 'Pay when paid' arrangements are barred and have no legal effect.

Contracting parties may agree the number and frequency of progress payments. In the absence of any express agreement, payment claims can be made at the end of each month. Standard construction contracts generally provide for monthly claims, although the due date may vary. There are strict time requirements for responding to, and discharging, payment claims.

The method of payment can be agreed between the parties, although cash payments should be treated with caution and not used as a method to avoid payment of GST or other tax. Cheques are being phased out by banks as electronic transfers become the norm.

9 Contractual matrix of international projects

What is the typical contractual matrix for a major project in your jurisdiction in terms of the contractual relationships among the various construction project participants?

Owners and developers typically contract directly with a construction company, rather than through construction managers or trade contractors. For example, under NZS 3910:2013, while a construction manager (the engineer) is appointed as the principal's agent to manage the contract, the contractual relationship is directly between principal and contractor. The contractor then subcontracts directly with specialist subcontractors.

A developing area is the use of alliance contracting, typically for large public-private partnership (PPP) infrastructure projects. In this regard, major construction companies with local expertise will frequently form joint ventures with foreign companies possessing specialist expertise, which, along with design consultants and key specialist subcontractors, form an 'alliance' of parties who contract with the pertinent public authority for the project.

10 PPP and PFI

Is there a formal statutory and regulatory framework for PPP and PFI contracts?

There is no specific legislative or regulatory framework for PPPs, which are typically only used for large-scale infrastructure projects. Examples include the construction of the new Wiri Prison, and the development and construction of the Transmission Gully highway near Wellington.

The Treasury's National Infrastructure Unit provides guidance and advice on PPPs (including project agreement forms) on its website: www.infrastructure.govt.nz. PFI contracts are not typically used in New Zealand.

11 Joint ventures

Are all members of consortia jointly liable for the entire project or may they allocate liability and responsibility among them?

In New Zealand the term 'joint venture' (JV) has no precise legal definition and is not a recognised legal entity in its own right. A JV will generally be formed using one of the following legal structures:

- a limited liability company (Company);
- a limited liability partnership (LLP);
- a partnership; or
- a contractual agreement.

The liability of each member of a JV will be determined by the legal structure chosen and the commercial arrangements between its members.

Where a Company is established to form a JV, it is this entity that undertakes the project and assumes the legal liability, not the members individually. This allows the members to limit their exposure to liabilities and project losses. Liability for Company directors will only arise in circumstances where directors have breached certain duties in the Companies Act 1993.

The situation is similar for LLPs registered under the Limited Partnerships Act 2008. In the case of a Company or LLP, members may nevertheless become liable where they are required to provide guarantees on behalf of the Company or LLP.

A JV may also take the form of a legal partnership, either created expressly by the members or as deemed by the Partnership Act 1908. In contrast to a Company or LLP, the members of a legal partnership are jointly and severally liable and each member may bind the others subject to the laws of partnership.

Alternatively, a JV may be formed purely on a contractual basis between members. Under this form, the liability of each member will be subject to the provisions contained in the JV agreement together with any other agreements entered into with external third parties and the general law of contract.

12 Tort claims and indemnity

Do local laws permit a contracting party to be indemnified against all acts, errors and omissions arising from the work of the other party, even when the first party is negligent?

New Zealand law permits a contracting party to indemnify the other party against acts, errors and omissions arising from the work of the indemnifying party. Normally a head contractor indemnifies a principal for losses arising from acts, errors and omissions in the performance of the contractor's scope of work (including the work of subcontractors). Commonly, subcontracts contain back-to-back indemnity provisions mirroring those provided to the principal by the head contractor.

However, to the extent a party's loss is caused by its own negligence, it may not be able to recover that loss from the indemnifying party. A contractual clause that indemnifies a party against loss that it has caused is enforceable (in the absence of fraud), but contracts do not normally contain such provisions. To the contrary, provisions

for apportionment of loss are increasingly being incorporated into the more common forms of construction contract.

13 Liability to third parties

Where a contractor constructs a building that will be sold or leased to a third party, does the contractor bear any potential responsibility to the third party? May the third party pursue a claim against the contractor despite the lack of contractual privity?

While New Zealand law recognises the common law doctrine of privity of contract, there are significant exceptions, both statutory and at common law.

For example, the Contracts (Privity) Act 1982 permits a person who is not a party to a contract, but upon whom the contract was intended to confer a benefit, to enforce the contract as if that person were a contracting party.

In the specific context of building contracts, the Building Act 2004 implies certain warranties relating to proper performance of contract works into every residential building contract (the warranties are not implied into non-residential building contracts, and subcontracts with the head builder in a residential project are also excluded). A person who is the owner of a building or land to which the provisions apply may bring proceedings for breach of warranty even if that person is not a party to the building contract. Parties cannot contract out of these consumer protection provisions.

For the past several decades, New Zealand has experienced a significant problem with leaky buildings. In response, New Zealand law has recognised an extra-contractual duty of care on the part of contractors, sub-contractors, suppliers and consultants (among others) to owners and subsequent purchasers of properties to ensure that building design, materials and construction work comply with applicable weather-tightness requirements. While this principle was originally developed in the residential context, the duty of care has been extended to cover the design and construction of non-residential properties. Accordingly, consultants, contractors, sub-contractors and others can be sued in tort by owners and subsequent purchasers for breach of this duty of care.

14 Insurance

To what extent do available insurance products afford a contractor coverage for: damage to the property of third parties; injury to workers or third parties; delay damages; and damages due to environmental hazards. Does the local law limit contractors' liability for damages?

There are a variety of insurance products available to contractors, including:

- contract works insurance (either project-specific or annual);
- tools, plant and equipment insurance (generally for market value only);
- public liability insurance (protection against legal liability to third parties for damage, loss or injury caused by an act or omission of the contractor arising out of the performance of the contract works). Note that compensation for bodily injury is covered by the ACC;
- employers' liability insurance (cover for personal injury to employees of the insured that is not covered by the ACC);
- professional indemnity (PI) insurance (cover for liability costs arising from faulty professional advice or design; used by contractors where design components are the responsibility of the contractor). Note that most domestic PI (and errors and omissions) insurance policies now exclude coverage for leaky building liability;
- errors and omissions insurance (similar to PI insurance if a contractor is held liable for third-party loss resulting from an error or omission in performing the contract works, such as failure

to follow a design specification or use of the wrong materials). Historically, this type of insurance was difficult for contractors to obtain, but is now available from some specialist insurers; and

- statutory liability insurance (cover for legal costs and fines under certain legislation). Fines for breaching health and safety laws cannot be insured but the policy will normally cover legal costs and reparation payments if the contractor is taken to court for breaching health and safety laws.

Contractors' pollution liability insurance is available from some specialist insurers and provides protection against third-party liabilities arising from pollution releases. Note should be taken of policy exclusions, particularly in relation to pre-existing environmental contamination.

Although not standard, consequential loss insurance may be available from specialist liability insurers to cover financial losses resulting from a contractor's act or omission covered under a liability insurance policy (eg, 'down-time' due to delays resulting from a contractor's act or omission). Consequential loss insurance, specifically for delays arising from accidental damage to any part of the contract works, is another specialist product available.

Normally, policies exclude liability for liquidated damages.

New Zealand's no-fault accident compensation law bars claims for compensatory damages for personal injury or death if cover is available from the ACC. New Zealand law does not generally limit liability for damages, although the parties may agree to a contractual cap.

15 Labour requirements

Are there any laws requiring a minimum amount of local labour to be employed on a particular construction project?

There are no laws requiring a minimum amount of local labour, although employers need to be aware that, under the Immigration Act 2009, only New Zealand citizens, New Zealand residents and permanent residents, holders of Australian current permanent residence visas, and Australian citizens who enter New Zealand on a current Australian passport, are entitled to work in New Zealand as of right. All other persons must hold a valid work visa issued by Immigration New Zealand (INZ).

Each visa category has its own specific requirements. However, generally, before employing a foreign national, an employer must:

- show that the person's occupation is on one of the immediate, long-term or Canterbury skill shortage lists;
- for an occupation not on a skill shortage list, first advertise for the position locally and demonstrate to the INZ that it could not fill the required role; or
- obtain employer accreditation to supplement its New Zealand workforce with foreign nationals.

At present, most occupations in the construction industry will be on one or more of the skill shortage lists.

Further information is available on the INZ website: www.immigration.govt.nz.

16 Local labour law

If a contractor directly hires local labour (at any level) for a project, are there any legal obligations towards the employees that cannot be terminated upon completion of the employment?

Where an employee has been employed on a fixed-term agreement that complies with section 66 of the Employment Relations Act 2000 (ERA), and that employment comes to an end at the conclusion of the specified project, there are no further legal obligations owed to that employee.

To amount to fixed-term employment, the contractor and employee must agree that the employment will end: at the close of a specified date; on the occurrence of a specified event; or at the conclusion of a specified project. Furthermore, the contractor must have genuine reasons based on reasonable grounds for specifying that the employment will end in one of those three ways.

Where an employee's agreement is one of indefinite duration, their employment will continue beyond the completion of a project. If the contractor attempts to end the employee's employment, it may amount to an unjustified dismissal, unless the contractor can show that the decision to dismiss was one that a fair and reasonable employer could have made in all the circumstances.

Provided that an employee's employment is ended appropriately and lawfully, there are no further legal obligations owed to the employee after that point.

17 Close of operations

If a foreign contractor that has been legally operating decides to close its operations, what are the legal obstacles to closing up and leaving?

In closing its operations in New Zealand, a foreign contractor must:

- dissolve any limited liability company formed in accordance with the Companies Act 1993 and seek removal of the company from the Companies Register;
- dissolve any limited liability partnership formed in accordance with the Limited Partnerships Act 2008 and partnership agreement and seek removal of the limited liability partnership from the New Zealand Limited Partnerships Register;
- dissolve any legal partnership formed in accordance with the Partnership Act 1908 and partnership agreement;
- in the case of a limited liability company and a limited liability partnership, request written notice from the Commissioner of Inland Revenue stating that he or she has no objection to the company or partnership being deregistered; and
- distribute assets (if any), finalise the accounts, and pay any outstanding creditors and taxes due.

Where the foreign contractor has employees, it must consult with potentially affected employees. If the contractor implements its decision to close operations, it will need to give notice to employees that their positions are being made redundant, and pay out any contractual and statutory entitlements under the ERA and related legislation.

Where the foreign contractor is restructuring, for example selling or contracting out its operations, it must also comply with Part 6A of the ERA. This Part is technical in nature, and legal advice should be obtained.

18 Payment rights

How may a contractor secure the right to payment of its costs and fees from an owner? May the contractor place liens on the property?

A contractor may secure the right to payment through the terms of its contract or, if applicable, the Construction Contracts Act 2002 (CCA).

Under the CCA, parties to a 'construction contract' have a statutory right to progress payments and certain enforcement remedies. Those rights and remedies (except charging orders) are likely to be extended to residential construction contracts in 2015.

To obtain payment under the CCA, the contractor serves a payment claim specifying the amount it considers is due. If the payer disagrees, it must issue a payment schedule recording the amount that it believes is due. The payer is then liable to pay the amount specified in the payment schedule. If the payer fails to issue a payment schedule in the specified time, it becomes liable to pay the amount claimed

in the payment claim. In the event of non-payment, the contractor can apply to the court to enforce it as a debt due, or suspend work (without affecting any other rights or remedies).

Where there is a dispute about sums withheld, the contractor may refer the dispute to adjudication, follow the dispute resolution mechanism in the contract if one is specified or otherwise commence proceedings. An adjudication decision may be entered as a court judgment where the decision required payment but the payer has remained in default.

A contractor cannot place a charging order (or lien) on the construction site without a court order. The CCA provides a faster process for obtaining this in construction cases. An appropriately nominated adjudicator should, if requested, grant a charging order where the amount claimed is due and the site is owned by the payer or an 'associate' of the payer. The charging order is lodged once the adjudication decision is entered as a judgment.

19 Contracting with government entities

Can a government agency assert sovereign immunity as a defence to a contractor's claim for payment?

No.

20 Statutory payment protection

Where major projects have been interrupted or cancelled, do the local laws provide any protection for unpaid contractors who have performed work?

Contractors have rights of suspension under the CCA and most standard form construction contracts, which may prevent ongoing loss after an insolvency event. Contractors have no preferential rights to payment for past work, unless they have an agreement with the principal that grants a security interest over the principal's assets or provides for the retention of an ownership interest in the goods and materials being supplied. To maintain security over other secured creditors, interests should be registered with the Personal Property Securities Register. Other contractual options available to secure payments include bonds and guarantees.

Payments made to contractors by an insolvent principal may be subject to claw-back, depending on the circumstances and timing of each payment.

21 Force majeure and acts of God

Under local law are contractors excused from performing contractual obligations owing to events beyond their control?

Most standard form construction contracts contain a force majeure clause, which outlines the consequences of an event beyond the control of the parties. The most common standard form contract, the NZS suite of contracts (see question 7), provides that if the performance of the contract has become impossible or the contract has been otherwise frustrated, one party may notify the other party that they consider the contract to be terminated. This may vary from other standard form contracts that international contractors may be familiar with, such as the JCT contract (which lists force majeure as a relevant event and potentially grants the contractor an extension of time).

If there is no force majeure clause included in a contract, the parties must rely on common law principles to establish that their contract has been frustrated. The Court has power under the Frustrated Contracts Act 1944 to make orders for money to be paid or property to be transferred where it is just to do so.

22 Courts and tribunals

Are there any specialised tribunals that are dedicated to resolving construction disputes?

New Zealand has no specialist court to deal with construction disputes. Claims valued at less than NZ\$200,000 are brought in the District Court; claims valued above that are brought in the High Court. Construction disputes are treated by the court like any other civil claim.

Some construction parties favour arbitration, partly because it enables them to appoint a specialist arbitrator. Parties must specifically provide for arbitration in their contract. Statutory adjudication is also available where the contract is a 'construction contract' within the meaning of the CCA. Occasionally, specialist project-specific dispute boards are established for large infrastructure projects (see question 23).

New Zealand's independent bar is supported by a number of barristers with construction expertise who frequently sit as arbitrators, adjudicators and mediators. Retired judges and specialist lawyers from Australia are sometimes also appointed. In addition, a small number of industry organisations are partly or wholly dedicated to the construction sector. They assist in vetting and nominating suitable arbitrators, mediators and adjudicators and in facilitating those alternative dispute resolution processes. These include the Arbitrators and Mediators Institute of New Zealand, the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors and the Building Disputes Tribunal.

23 Dispute review boards

Are dispute review boards (DRBs) used? Are their decisions treated as mandatory, advisory, final or interim?

DRBs have been used for some large construction and engineering projects in New Zealand (eg, the Matahina Dam strengthening, Manapouri Second Tailrace Tunnel, Christchurch ocean outfall and, currently, the Transmission Gully highway project). They remain relatively uncommon, although there is growing support for their use.

The contractual documents and DRB specifications adopted by the parties will determine whether or when the board's decisions are final and binding, and whether the board can give non-binding advisory opinions. The parties may structure this as they wish.

24 Mediation

Has the practice of voluntary participation in professionally organised mediation gained acceptance and, if so, how prevalent is the practice and where are the mediators coming from? If not, why not?

Mediation is a widely used method for resolving construction disputes in New Zealand. Mediation is usually attempted in the course of litigation or arbitration and when the dispute has reached a sufficiently mature stage.

There is no legislative requirement for mediators to undertake specific training, although many have both a legal qualification and have undertaken further education in mediation. Some construction professionals (eg, engineers, quantity surveyors and building experts) have also begun to move into this space. They tend to mediate construction disputes where the issues are of a purely financial or technical nature (eg, final account disputes).

Under New Zealand's High Court Rules, a judicial settlement conference (JSC) is available to the parties to litigation as an alternative to mediation. A JSC is akin to mediation, except that a judge assumes the role of 'mediator'. As a result, they may be able to provide the parties with a 'steer' on the merits in a way that a mediator would not ordinarily do. A JSC is confidential and the judge that conducts it is excluded from hearing the case at trial if the dispute does not settle.

25 Confidentiality in mediation

Are statements made in mediation confidential?

Section 57(1) of the Evidence Act 2006 confers a statutory privilege in respect of communications or information that was intended to be confidential and was made in connection with an attempt to settle or mediate a dispute between the parties. The privilege also applies to confidential documents prepared in connection with an attempt to settle or mediate a dispute. The privilege may be disallowed if the communication or information was given or made for a dishonest purpose.

The privilege in section 57 does not apply to the terms of a settlement agreement, evidence necessary to prove the existence of a settlement agreement or a written cost-protecting offer in the context of awarding costs. Save for these exceptions, a mediator or party to mediation cannot be compelled to give evidence in a proceeding or otherwise disclose confidential information connected with a mediation or settlement negotiations.

Despite this legislative protection, mediation and settlement agreements normally include their own confidentiality provisions. It is not possible, however, to contract out of the admissibility exceptions in section 57.

26 Arbitration of private disputes

What is the prevailing attitude towards arbitration of construction disputes? Is it preferred over litigation in the local courts?

Construction contracts in New Zealand usually provide for the arbitration of disputes, often as the final step in a disputes resolution process that includes mediation. While arbitration is favoured for reasons of confidentiality and the power to nominate an arbitrator with specialist expertise, it can be a lengthy and expensive process with procedural difficulties in multi-party disputes. Parties in a contractual chain should consider whether the pertinent contracts have back-to-back arbitration provisions and whether there is power to consolidate arbitral proceedings.

Domestic arbitration agreements do not override the parties' statutory right under the CCA to adjudicate their disputes. The adjudicator's determination, however, will be overtaken by any subsequent award. Adjudication is not available for disputes subject to international arbitration agreements, which include arbitrations where the parties' places of business are in different countries.

27 Governing law and arbitration providers

If a foreign contractor wanted to pursue work and insisted by contract upon international arbitration as the dispute resolution mechanism, which of the customary international arbitration providers is preferred and why?

The Arbitration Act 1996 is based on the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. Parties are free to adopt the rules of an international arbitration provider. ICC arbitration has historically been the best known and the most widely used. Parties may agree the place of the arbitration and the governing law.

28 Dispute resolution with government entities

May government agencies participate in private arbitration and be bound by the arbitrators' award?

Yes.

29 Arbitral award

Is there any basis upon which an arbitral award issued by a foreign or international tribunal may be rejected by your local courts?

The award must be properly authenticated or certified. If it is not in English, a certified translation must be provided.

The court may refuse to enforce an award on grounds based on the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (1958). These are largely concerned with natural justice (eg, incapacity of the parties, prevention of access, inducement by fraud, and compliance with the terms of the arbitration agreement).

The dispute that is the subject of the award must be arbitrable under New Zealand law. Most commercial disputes will meet this criterion. The court retains a residual discretion, which is narrowly construed, to refuse to enforce an award that conflicts with New Zealand's public policy.

30 Limitation periods

Are there any statutory limitation periods within which lawsuits must be commenced for construction work or design services and are there any statutory preconditions for commencing or maintaining such proceedings?

Proceedings must be commenced within the statutory limitation period.

The Limitation Act 2010 applies to any act or omission that occurred after 31 December 2010 (its predecessor applies to acts or omissions that occurred before that date). A claim must be brought within six years from the date of the act or omission in question. Where the damage is discovered after six years (ie, late knowledge), the claim can be brought within three years after the date the claimant knew or ought reasonably to have known certain facts giving rise to the claim.

In order to prevent indefinite liability, the Limitation Act precludes claims being brought more than 15 years from the date of the act or omission on which the claim is based.

Different limitation periods may apply in respect of specific legislation. Under the Building Act 2004, claims in relation to building work must be brought within 10 years of the act or omission on which the proceedings are based. Any claims made under the Fair Trading Act 1986 must be brought within three years of the date the loss or damage was or should have been discovered.

Parties may contract to a shorter limitation period.

There are statutory preconditions for commencing and maintaining proceedings set out in the High Court Rules, such as following the correct procedures and time frames for filing and serving documents and paying the correct court fees.

31 International environmental law

Is your jurisdiction party to the Stockholm Declaration of 1972? What are the local laws that provide for preservation of the environment and wildlife while advancing infrastructure and building projects?

New Zealand is a party to the Stockholm Declaration of 1972.

Some key pieces of New Zealand environmental legislation that provide for the environment and potentially impact upon the construction industry are:

- the Resource Management Act 1991, which seeks to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, and mandates that certain activities obtain resource consent;
- the Building Act 2004, which sets out the procedure for carrying out building work in New Zealand, including identifying works requiring resource consent under the Resource Management Act;
- the Climate Change Response Act 2002, which provides for the implementation, operation and administration of a greenhouse gas emissions trading scheme in New Zealand; and
- the Environmental Protection Authority Act 2011, which establishes an agency that administers applications for major infrastructure projects of national significance and administer the Emissions Trading Scheme.

Update and trends**New residential building regulations**

Recent amendments to the Building Act 2004 and associated regulations designed to strengthen consumer protections under residential building contracts came into force on 1 January 2015.

These include:

- where residential building work will cost more than NZ\$30,000 (including goods and services tax), the building contractor will be obliged to provide certain prescribed information prior to commencing work, and have a written contract with prescribed minimum content; and
- enhanced remedies for breach of statutory warranties relating to the proper performance of the building contract.

Construction contracts amendment bill

Various amendments to the Construction Contracts Act 2002 are currently before Parliament.

The main changes are likely to include:

- extending the right of adjudication to design, engineering and quantity surveying work;
- largely removing the distinction between residential and commercial construction contracts;
- updating and clarifying the adjudication procedure (eg, by including an express entitlement to a right of reply by claimants); and
- extending and speeding up enforcement of adjudication decisions.

The government has also announced that it will add a requirement that retention monies be kept in trust.

These changes are expected to come into force at some point in 2015.

Health and safety reforms

The Health and Safety Reform Bill is currently before Parliament. This aims to strengthen protections for workers, impose greater obligations on persons conducting a business or undertaking and officers of these persons, and increase offences and penalties for breaching those duties.

The range of persons owing duties will be expanded from the current Health and Safety in Employment Act 1992, as will the scope of the duties owed and the penalties for breaching the legislation. As an example, the penalties will increase to a maximum of a NZ\$3 million fine or imprisonment for up to five years, or both.

Construction boom

New Zealand is on the cusp of the largest construction boom in 40 years.

Despite being consistently one of the largest sectors of New Zealand's economy, building activity has traditionally been sporadic and low by international standards. This is set to change over the coming years due to a coincidence of factors.

The expected boom presents many opportunities for construction firms and the wider economy, including the chance for improved scale and productivity. There is concern that the small size of many New Zealand entities will limit their ability to take advantage of these opportunities or, worse, mean they will struggle to manage more or larger projects. This is compounded by a shortage of skilled labour across the board. These factors may see more international firms enter the New Zealand market over the next few years, particularly on the consulting side and through alliance contracting.

In addition, liability at common law for negligence, nuisance or under the rule in *Rylands v Fletcher* (which imposes strict liability on those who bring onto their land something that may escape and cause harm) may affect the construction industry.

32 Local environmental responsibility

What duties and liability do local laws impose on developers and contractors for the creation of environmental hazards or violation of local environmental laws and regulations?

The primary duty affecting the construction industry is to obtain resource consent for proposed projects under the Resource Management Act 1991 and to comply with any conditions of the consent granted.

The Resource Management Act imposes penalties for offences under its provisions:

- for a natural person, imprisonment of up to two years or a fine not exceeding NZ\$300,000; or
- for something other than a natural person, a fine not exceeding NZ\$600,000.

Where an offence is a continuing one, the penalties may increase by up to NZ\$10,000 for every day during which that offence continues.

The Building Act 2004 provides for fines for a range of offences including carrying out building work without the required resource consent. These fines range from NZ\$10,000 to NZ\$100,000 depending on the specific offence.

Hesketh Henry

Margaret A Helen Macfarlane
Christina Bryant
Nick Gillies
Michael O'Brien

helen.macfarlane@heskethhenry.co.nz
christina.bryant@heskethhenry.co.nz
nick.gillies@heskethhenry.co.nz
michael.o'brien@heskethhenry.co.nz

Level 14, PwC Tower
188 Quay Street
Auckland 1010
New Zealand

Tel: +64 9 375 8700
Fax: +64 9 309 4494
www.heskethhenry.co.nz

33 International treaties

Is your jurisdiction a signatory to any investment agreements for the protection of investments of a foreign entity in construction and infrastructure projects? If so, how does your model agreement define 'investment'?

New Zealand is party to a number of free trade agreements that protect foreign entities investing in New Zealand. A list of these free trade agreements can be found on the New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade website: <http://mfat.govt.nz/Trade-and-Economic-Relations/2-Trade-Relationships-and-Agreements/index.php>.

There is no model agreement for such agreements; therefore the definition of 'investment' varies.

34 Tax treaties

Has your jurisdiction entered into double taxation treaties pursuant to which a contractor is prevented from being taxed in various jurisdictions?

New Zealand is party to 39 double tax agreements and protocols implemented with its primary trading and investment partners. Further information is available on the Inland Revenue Department website: www.ird.govt.nz/international/residency/dta/.

35 Currency controls

Are there currency controls that make it difficult or impossible to change operating funds or profits from one currency to another?

No.

36 Removal of profits and investment

Are there any controls or laws that restrict removal of profits and investments from your jurisdiction?

Although there are no restrictions per se on the removal of profits from New Zealand, there are prohibitions under New Zealand law against, for example, transferring funds out of the jurisdiction in order to defraud creditors.

There are certain reporting requirements with respect to transferring funds exceeding the monetary threshold of NZ\$10,000 out of New Zealand. In addition, if a person or company is electronically sending more than NZ\$1,000 overseas, their bank is required to ask specific questions regarding the transfer.

* The authors also wish to acknowledge and thank Mary Battersby, Nina Thomson, and Shukti Sharma for their assistance with this chapter.

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Annual volumes published on:

Acquisition Finance
Advertising & Marketing
Air Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation
Anti-Money Laundering
Arbitration
Asset Recovery
Banking Regulation
Cartel Regulation
Climate Regulation
Construction
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Data Protection & Privacy
Debt Capital Markets
Dispute Resolution
Domains & Domain Names
Dominance
e-Commerce
Electricity Regulation
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment
Foreign Investment Review
Franchise
Gas Regulation
Insurance & Reinsurance
Insurance Litigation
Intellectual Property & Antitrust
Investment Treaty Arbitration
Islamic Finance & Markets
Labour & Employment
Licensing
Life Sciences
Mediation
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining
Oil Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pensions & Retirement Plans
Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Private Antitrust Litigation
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Restructuring & Insolvency
Right of Publicity
Securities Finance
Shipbuilding
Shipping
State Aid
Tax Controversy
Tax on Inbound Investment
Telecoms & Media
Trade & Customs
Trademarks
Vertical Agreements



For more information or to
purchase books, please visit:
www.gettingthedealthrough.com



Strategic Research Partner of the
ABA Section of International Law



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



Official Partner of the Latin American
Corporate Counsel Association